

Lattice Points, Dedekind-Rademacher Sums and a Conjecture of Kronheimer and Mrowka

Liviu I. Nicolaescu*

Version 2, January 1998

Abstract

We express the number of lattice points inside a certain simplex with vertices in $\mathbb{Q}^3$ or $\mathbb{Q}^4$ in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums. As an application we prove a conjecture of Kronheimer and Mrowka in the special case of Brieskorn homology spheres $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $n \leq 4$. This conjecture relates the Euler characteristic of the Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology to the Casson invariant.

Introduction

Since the very beginning it was apparent that the Seiberg-Witten analogue of the instanton Floer homology of a homology 3-sphere is no longer a topological invariant since it can vary with the metric.

Recently, M. Marcolli explained in [7] the metric dependence of the Euler characteristic of the SWF (= Seiberg-Witten-Floer) homology. More precisely, if g_i ($i = 0, 1$) are two generic Riemann metrics on a homology 3-sphere N and $\chi_{SW}(N, g_i)$ is the Euler characteristic of the SWF homology of (N, g_i) the results of [7] imply that

$$\chi_{SW}(N, g_1) - \chi_{SW}(N, g_0) = \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}(g_1) - \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}(g_0)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(g) = 4\eta_{dir}(g) + \eta_{sign}(g)$$

$\eta_{dir}(g)$ denotes the eta invariant of the Dirac operator of (N, g) while $\eta_{sign}(g)$ denotes the eta invariant of the odd signature operator on (N, g) . In particular, the above equality shows that the quantity

$$\alpha(N) = -\chi_{SW}(N, g) + \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}(g)$$

***Current address:** Dept. of Math., McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, L8S 4K1, Canada; nicolaes@icarus.math.mcmaster.ca

is independent of g and thus is a topological quantity.

In 1996, Kronheimer and Mrowka conjectured (see [6]) that this quantity coincides (up to a sign) with the Casson invariant of N . W. Chen ([1],[2]) has established many interesting properties of this invariant.

The topological goal of this paper is to provide a proof of this conjecture, in the special case of Brieskorn homology sphere $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $n \leq 4$. Our proof is arithmetic in nature and is based on a count of lattice points inside a certain n -simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with vertices in $\mathbb{Q}^n$, $n = 3, 4$.

More precisely, recent work ([10], [13]) shows that for a certain natural metric g_0 on $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ (realizing the Thurston geometry of this Seifert manifold) we have

$$-\chi_{SW}(\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n), g_0) = 2C_{a_1, \dots, a_n}, \quad n = 3, 4$$

where $C_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ is the number of lattice points in the simplex

$$\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{a_i} < \frac{1}{2} \left(n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \right) \right\}.$$

The arithmetic goal of this paper is the determination of $C_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ when $n = 3, 4$. This is a problem of independent interest since the vertices of the simplex $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are not lattice points and none of the counting techniques using Riemann-Roch on toric manifolds seem to apply. We will use instead a variation of a trick of Mordell; see [9] or [15].

R. Fintushel and R. Stern have shown in [3] that the Casson invariant of the Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ is $\frac{1}{8}\sigma(a, b, c)$ where $\sigma(a, b, c)$ denotes the signature of the Milnor fiber of $\Sigma(a, b, c)$. This result was extended to arbitrary $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ by Neuwmann-Wahl in [11]. The Kronheimer-Mrowka conjecture in this case is equivalent to

$$-2C_{a_1, \dots, a_n} - \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}(g_0) = \frac{1}{8}\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n). \quad (0.1)$$

The key objects in this paper will be the so called *Dedekind-Rademacher* sums defined for every coprime positive integers h, k and any real numbers x, y by

$$s(h, k; x, y) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{\mu + y}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{h(\mu + y)}{k} + x \right) \right)$$

where for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$((r)) = \begin{cases} 0 & r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \{q\} - \frac{1}{2} & r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \quad (\{r\} := r - [r]).$$

Despite their apparent complexity these sums are computationally very friendly due mainly to the reciprocity law they satisfy (see [14] or the Appendix). The sums

$s(h, k; 0, 0)$ are precisely the Dedekind sums $s(h, k)$ discussed in great detail in [4] or [15].

Our proof of (0.1) is based on the following facts.

- According to Zagier (see [4] or [11]) the signature $\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ can be expressed in terms of Dedekind sums.
- According to the computations in [13] the quantity $\frac{1}{2}\eta_{dir}(g_0) + \frac{1}{8}\eta_{sign}(g_0)$ can be expressed in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums.
- For $n = 3, 4$ the number $C_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ can be expressed in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums.

As an arithmetic byproduct of the proof we obtain a divisibility result for certain expressions involving Dedekind-Rademacher sums (see Remark 1.2).

The present paper consists of three sections and an appendix. In the first section we use the results of [13] to express $\mathbf{F}$ in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums and to reduce the computation of χ_{SW} to a lattice point count. In the next section we describe a variation of the Mordell trick which reduces the lattice point count to a certain arithmetic expression. The third section describes this arithmetic expression in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums and completes the proof of (1.13). For the reader's convenience we have included a brief appendix containing the basic properties of Dedekind-Rademacher sums used in this paper.

Note After this paper was completed we found out that the Kronheimer-Mrowka conjecture was proved independently and in its entire generality by Lim and Carey-Marcolli-Wang. We believe the nice arithmetic behind the Brieskorn homology spheres is interesting enough to warrant a separate treatment.

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Geometric preliminaries	4
2 The Mordell trick	7
3 The proof of Theorem 1.1	8
§3.1 The case $n = 3$	8
§3.2 The case $n = 4$	12
A Basic facts concerning Dedekind-Rademacher sums	14
References	16

1 Geometric preliminaries

For pairwise coprime integers $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ we denote by $\Sigma(\vec{a})$ the Brieskorn homology sphere $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with n singular fibers (see [5] for a precise definition). We orient $\Sigma(\vec{a})$ as the boundary of a complex manifold. $\Sigma(\vec{a})$ is a Seifert manifold. With respect to the above orientation it is a singular S^1 fibration over the orbi-sphere $S^2(\vec{a})$ which has n cone points of isotropies $\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. This fibration has rational degree

$$\ell = -\frac{1}{A}, \quad A := a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n.$$

Set $b_i := A/a_i$. The Seifert invariants $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ (normalized as in [13]) are defined by

$$\beta_i b_i \equiv -1 \pmod{a_i}, \quad 0 \leq \beta_i < a_i$$

Set $q_i = \beta_i^{-1} = -b_i \pmod{a_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The canonical line bundle of $S^2(\vec{a})$ has rational degree

$$\kappa = (n-2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i}.$$

The universal covering space of $\Sigma(\vec{a})$ is a Lie group $G = G(\vec{a})$ determined by

$$G = \begin{cases} SU(2) & \kappa < 0 \\ P\tilde{S}L_2(\mathbb{R}) & \kappa > 0 \end{cases}$$

where $P\tilde{S}L_2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the universal cover of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover $\Sigma(\vec{a}) \cong \Gamma/G(\vec{a})$ where Γ is a discrete subgroup of G . The natural left invariant metrics on G (see [17]) induce a natural metric g_0 on $\Sigma(\vec{a})$. All the geometric quantities discussed in the sequel are computed with respect to this metric and for simplicity we will omit g_0 from the various notations. Thus $\mathbf{F}(\vec{a})$ is $\mathbf{F}(g_0)$.

Set

$$\rho := \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{2\ell} \right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & A \text{ even} \\ 0 & A \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and define $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ by the equalities

$$\gamma_i = m\beta_i \pmod{a_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

where m is the integer

$$m := \frac{\kappa}{2\ell} - \rho = \frac{u - A - 2\rho}{2}, \quad u := \sum_i b_i.$$

In [13] we have proved the following.

- If A is even then

$$\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) = 1 - 4 \sum_i s(\beta_i, a_i) - 4 \sum_i \left(\left(\left(\frac{q_i \gamma_i + \rho}{a_i} \right) \right) + 2s(\beta_i, a_i; \frac{\gamma_i + \beta_i \rho}{a_i}, -\rho) \right) \quad (1.2)$$

The above expression can be further simplified using the identities

$$s(\beta_i, a_i) = -s(b_i, a_i) \quad (1.3)$$

$$s(\beta_i, a_i; \frac{\gamma_i + \beta_i/2}{a_i}, -1/2) = -s(b_i, a_i, 1/2, 1/2) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{q_i \gamma_i + 1/2}{a_i} \right) \right) \quad (1.4)$$

The identity (1.3) is elementary and can be safely left to the reader. The identity (1.4) is proved in the Appendix. Putting the above together we deduce that when A is even we have

$$\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) = 1 + 4 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i) + 8 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i; 1/2, 1/2). \quad (1.5)$$

• If A is odd then

$$\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) = 1 - \frac{1}{A} - 4 \sum_i s(\beta_i, a_i) - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(2s(\beta_i, a_i; \frac{\gamma_i + \beta_i \rho}{a_i}, -\rho) + \left(\left(\frac{q_i \gamma_i + \rho}{a_i} \right) \right) \right). \quad (1.6)$$

Similarly, we have an identity

$$s(\beta_i, a_i; \frac{\gamma_i}{a_i}, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{q_i \gamma_i}{a_i} \right) \right) = -s(b_i, a_i; 1/2, 1/2) \quad (1.7)$$

and we deduce

$$\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) = 1 - \frac{1}{A} + 4 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i) + 8 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i; 1/2, 1/2). \quad (1.8)$$

The signature $\sigma(\vec{a})$ of the Milnor fiber of $\Sigma(\vec{a})$ can be expressed in terms of Dedekind sums as well (see [11], Sect.1) and we have

$$\sigma(\vec{a}) = -1 - \frac{(n-2)A}{3} + \frac{1}{3A} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \frac{b_i}{a_i} - 4 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i). \quad (1.9)$$

We deduce that

$$\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) + \sigma(\vec{a}) = -\frac{(n-2)A}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3A} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \frac{b_i}{a_i} + 8 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i; 1/2, 1/2) \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & A \text{ even} \\ -2 & A \text{ odd} \end{cases}.$$

The description of χ_{SW} requires a bit more work. Introduce the simplex

$$\Delta(\vec{a}) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n ; x_i \geq 0, \sum_i \frac{x_i}{a_i} < \kappa/2\}.$$

For each $\vec{x} \in \Delta(\vec{a})$ set

$$d(\vec{x}) = \sum_i \left\lfloor \frac{x_i}{a_i} \right\rfloor$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{\vec{x}}$ = symmetric product of $d(\vec{x})$ copies of S^2 . Note that if $n = 3, 4$ then $d(\vec{x}) = 0$ for all $\vec{x} \in \Delta(\vec{a})$.

The irreducible part of the adiabatic Seibert-Witten equations on $\Sigma(\vec{a})$ (studied in [10]) and [12]) can be described as

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\vec{a}} = \bigcup_{\vec{x}} \mathfrak{M}_{\vec{x}}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\vec{x}} = \mathfrak{M}_{\vec{x}}^+ \cup \mathfrak{M}_{\vec{x}}^-, \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\vec{x}}^{\pm} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\vec{x}}.$$

Moreover, the virtual dimensions of the spaces of finite energy gradient flows originating at the unique reducible solution and ending at one of the $\mathfrak{M}_{\vec{x}}$ are all odd. Using the adiabatic argument in §3.3 of [13] we deduce that if all $d(\vec{x})$ are zero the Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology obtained using the usual Seiberg-Witten equations is isomorphic with the Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology obtained using the adiabatic equations. Moreover, all the even dimensional Betti numbers of the Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology are zero and we deduce

$$\chi_{SW}(\vec{a}) = -2C_{\vec{a}} := -2\#\Delta(\vec{a}). \quad (1.11)$$

In 1996 Kronheimer and Mrowka conjectured that

$$\chi_{SW}(N, g) - \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}(N, g) = \lambda(N)$$

for any homology sphere N and any generic metric g on N . Above, $\lambda(N)$ denotes the Casson invariant of N . It was shown in [11] that for the Brieskorn homology spheres $\Sigma(\vec{a})$

$$\lambda(\Sigma(\vec{a})) = \frac{1}{8}\sigma(\vec{a}). \quad (1.12)$$

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 The Kronheimer-Mrowka conjecture is true for Brieskorn homology spheres $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $n = 3, 4$. According to (1.11), (1.12) and (1.10) this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -16C_{\vec{a}} &= \mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) + \sigma(\vec{a}) \\ &= -\frac{(n-2)A}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3A} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \frac{b_i}{a_i} + 8 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i; 1/2, 1/2). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Remark 1.2 As indicated in [13], Rohlin's theorem implies that the term $\mathbf{F}(\vec{a})$ is divisible by 8. The results of [11] show the signature $\sigma(\vec{a})$ is also divisible by 8. Thus the right-hand-side of (1.10) is an integer divisible by 8. The above theorem shows that $\mathbf{F}(\vec{a}) + \sigma(\vec{a})$ is in effect divisible by 16!!!

2 The Mordell trick

Let $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be as in the previous section. Denote by $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\vec{a}}$ the parallelepiped

$$\mathcal{P} := ([0, a_1 - 1] \times \cdots \times [0, a_n - 1]) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

When $n = 3$ we will use the notation $\vec{a} = (a, b, c)$. Define $q : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$q(\vec{x}) = \sum_i \frac{x_i + 1/2}{a_i} = \sum_i \frac{x_i}{a_i} + \frac{u}{2A}.$$

Remark 2.1 (a) Suppose $n = 3$, $\vec{a} = (a, b, c)$. Note that $q(\mathbf{p}) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if abc is odd and

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 := \left(\frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{b-1}{2}, \frac{c-1}{2} \right).$$

In this case $q(\mathbf{p}_0) = \frac{3}{2}$.

(b) Suppose $n = 4$, $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_4)$. Then $q(\mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if A is odd and

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 = \left(\frac{a_1-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{a_4-1}{2} \right)$$

in which case $q(\mathbf{p}_0) = 2$.

For every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ we put

$$N_I := \#q^{-1}(I).$$

Note that

$$C_{\vec{a}} = N_{(0, (n-2)/2)}.$$

In particular, if $n = 3$

$$C_{a,b,c} = N_{(0, 1/2)}. \tag{2.1}$$

while if $n = 4$

$$C_{a_1, \dots, a_4} = N_{(0, 1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

For every $r \in \mathbf{R}$ define $\|r\| = [r + 1/2]$ where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part function. Note that $\|r\|$ is the integer closest to r . We now discuss separately the two cases, $n = 3$ and $n = 4$

• **The case** $n = 3$, $\vec{a} = (a, b, c)$. Immitating Mordell (see [9] or [15]) we introduce the quantity

$$\alpha := \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (\|q\| - 1)(\|q\| - 2).$$

Observe that

$$\alpha = 2N_{[0, 1/2)} + 2N_{[5/2, 3)} = 2N_{(0, 1/2)} + 2N_{(5/2, 3)}. \tag{2.3}$$

The importance of the last equality follows from the following elementary result.

Lemma 2.2

$$N_{(0,1/2)} = N_{(5/2,3)}$$

Proof Consider the involution

$$\omega : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (a - 1 - x, b - 1 - y, c - 1 - z).$$

It has the property

$$q(\omega(\mathbf{p})) = 3 - q(\mathbf{p})$$

from which the lemma follows immediately. $\square$

Using the lemma and the equalities (2.1), (2.3) we deduce

$$4C_{a,b,c} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (\|q\| - 1)(\|q\| - 2). \quad (2.4)$$

• **The case** $n = 4$, $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_4)$. Arguing exactly as above we deduce

$$4C_{\vec{a}} = N_{(0,1)} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} ([q] - 1)([q] - 2). \quad (2.5)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be completed by providing an expression for the above sums in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums. This will be achieved in the next section following the strategy of [9] (see also [15]).

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

We will consider separately the two cases $n = 3$ and $n = 4$.

§3.1 The case $n = 3$ Set $\vec{a} = (a, b, c)$ so that $A = abc$, $u = ab + bc + ca$. We will distinguish two cases: A is even and A is odd.

• **A is even** In this case $q(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$\|q\| = q + 1/2 - \{q + 1/2\} = q - ((q + 1/2)).$$

The sum can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (q - ((q + 1/2)) - 1)(q - ((q + 1/2)) - 2) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} q^2 - 3 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} q + 2 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} 1 \\ & - 2 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} q((q + 1/2)) + \sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q + 1/2))^2 + 3 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q + 1/2)). \end{aligned}$$

We compute each of these 6 sums separately.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathcal{P}} 1 &= \#\mathcal{P} = abc. \\
\sum_{\mathcal{P}} q &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{x+1/2}{a} + \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{y+1/2}{b} + \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{z+1/2}{c} \\
&= \frac{bc}{2a} \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} (2x+1) + \frac{ca}{2b} \sum_{y=0}^{b-1} (2y+1) + \frac{ab}{2c} \sum_{z=0}^{c-1} (2z+1) \\
&= \frac{3abc}{2}. \\
\sum_{\mathcal{P}} q^2 &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\frac{x+1/2}{a} \right)^2 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\frac{y+1/2}{b} \right)^2 + \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\frac{z+1/2}{c} \right)^2 \\
&+ 2c \left(\sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \frac{x+1/2}{a} \right) \left(\sum_{y=0}^{b-1} \frac{y+1/2}{b} \right) + 2b \left(\sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \frac{x+1/2}{a} \right) \left(\sum_{z=0}^{c-1} \frac{z+1/2}{c} \right) \\
&+ 2a \left(\sum_{z=0}^{c-1} \frac{z+1/2}{c} \right) \left(\sum_{y=0}^{b-1} \frac{y+1/2}{b} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using basic properties of Bernoulli polynomials (see [16]) we deduce

$$\sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \left(\frac{x+1/2}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{3a^2} \left(B_3(a + \frac{1}{2}) - B_3(\frac{1}{2}) \right)$$

where

$$B_3(t) = \frac{t(2t-1)(t-1)}{2}$$

is the third Bernoulli polynomial. Note that $B_3(1/2) = 0$ and

$$B_3(t + 1/2) = t(t^2 - 1/4).$$

Using the identity

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k+1/2}{n} = \frac{n}{2}$$

we conclude

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} q^2 = \frac{5abc}{2} - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{bc}{a} + \frac{ca}{b} + \frac{ab}{c} \right).$$

Next

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q+1/2)) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{u}{2abc} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{abc-1} \left(\left(\frac{k}{abc} + \frac{u}{2abc} \right) \right)$$

According to the Kubert identity (A.4) in the Appendix the last sum is equal to $((u/2))$ which is zero. Thus

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q + 1/2)) = 0.$$

The sum $\sum q((q + 1/2))$ requires a bit more work. Note first that it decomposes as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \frac{x + 1/2}{a} \sum_{y,z} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{u + abc}{2abc} \right) \right) \\ & + \sum_{y=0}^{b-1} \frac{y + 1/2}{b} \sum_{z,x} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{u + abc}{2abc} \right) \right) \\ & + \sum_{z=0}^{c-1} \frac{z + 1/2}{c} \sum_{x,y} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{u + abc}{2abc} \right) \right) \\ & = S_1 + S_2 + S_3. \end{aligned}$$

We describe in detail the computation of S_1 . The other two sums are entirely similar. Note first that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y,z} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{u + abc}{2abc} \right) \right) &= \sum_{y,z} \left(\left(\frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{x}{a} + \frac{u + abc}{2abc} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{bc-1} \left(\left(\frac{k}{bc} + \frac{x}{a} + \frac{u + abc}{2abc} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(use the Kubert identity (A.4))

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\left(\frac{bcx}{a} + \frac{u + abc}{2a} \right) \right). \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{bc(x + 1/2)}{a} + \frac{bc + b + c}{2} \right) \right) = \left(\left(\frac{bc(x + 1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \frac{x + 1/2}{a} \left(\left(\frac{bc(x + 1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{x + 1/2}{a} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{bc(x + 1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{bc(x + 1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(use the Kubert identity)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{x+1/2}{a} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{bc(x+1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= s(bc, a; 1/2, 1/2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} q((q+1/2)) = s(bc, a; 1/2, 1/2) + s(ca, b; 1/2, 1/2) + s(ab, c; 1/2, 1/2).$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q+1/2))^2 &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} + \frac{u+abc}{2abc} \right) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{abc-1} \left(\left(\frac{k + \frac{u+abc}{2}}{abc} \right) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(use the fact that $u+abc$ is odd in this case)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{abc-1} \left(\left(\frac{k+1/2}{abc} \right) \right)^2 = s(1, abc; 0, 1/2)$$

(use (A.1))

$$= \frac{abc}{12} - \frac{1}{12abc}.$$

Putting together the above information we deduce that if abc is even then

$$\begin{aligned} 4C_{a,b,c} &= \frac{abc}{12} - \frac{1}{12abc} - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{bc}{a} + \frac{ca}{b} + \frac{ab}{c} \right) \\ &\quad - 2(s(bc, a; 1/2, 1/2) + s(ca, b; 1/2, 1/2) + s(ab, c; 1/2, 1/2)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The identity (1.13) is now obvious.

• **A is odd** In this case, using Remark 2.1 we deduce

$$\|q(p)\| = \begin{cases} q - ((q+1/2)) & \mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{p}_0 \\ q - ((q+1/2)) + \frac{1}{2} & \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 \end{cases}.$$

Thus

$$(\|q\| - 1)(\|q\| - 2) = \begin{cases} (q - ((q+1/2)) - 1)(q - ((q+1/2)) - 2) & \mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{p}_0 \\ (q - ((q+1/2)) - 1/2)(q - ((q+1/2)) - 3/2) & \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$4C_{a,b,c} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (q - ((q+1/2)) - 1)(q - ((q+1/2)) - 2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (q - ((q + \frac{1}{2})) - \frac{1}{2})(q - ((q + \frac{1}{2})) - \frac{3}{2})|_{\mathbf{p}_0} - (q - ((q + \frac{1}{2})) - 1)(q - ((q + \frac{1}{2})) - 2)|_{\mathbf{p}_0} \\
& = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (q - ((q + 1/2)) - 1)(q - ((q + 1/2)) - 2) + \frac{1}{4}. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

The above sum can be computed exactly as in the even case with one notable difference namely

$$\sum ((q + 1/2))^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{abc-1} \left(\left(\frac{k + \frac{u+abc}{2}}{abc} \right) \right)$$

($u + abc$ is even)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{abc-1} \left(\left(\frac{k}{abc} \right) \right) = s(1, abc; 0, 0) = \frac{abc}{12} + \frac{1}{6abc} - \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus, when abc is odd we have

$$\begin{aligned}
4C_{a,b,c} &= \frac{abc}{12} + \frac{1}{6abc} - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{bc}{a} + \frac{ca}{b} + \frac{ab}{c} \right) \\
&- 2(s(bc, a; 1/2, 1/2) + s(ca, b; 1/2, 1/2) + s(ab, c; 1/2, 1/2)).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 when $n = 3$

§3.2 The case $n = 4$ We follow a similar strategy with some obvious modifications. Set $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_4)$, $A = 4$, $u = b_1 + \dots + b_4$ and

$$S_{\vec{a}} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}_{\vec{a}}} ([q] - 1)([q] - 2).$$

As in the previous subsection will distinguish two situations.

• **A is even** Note that for every $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $q(\mathbf{p}) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$[q] = q - ((q)) - 1/2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\vec{a}} &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (q - ((q)) - 3/2)(q - ((q)) - 5/2) \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{q}} (q^2 - 4q + 15/4) - 2 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} q((q)) + \sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q))^2 + 4 \sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q)).
\end{aligned}$$

The computation of the above terms follows the same pattern as in the previous subsection.

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q)) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathcal{P}} 15/4 &= 15\#\mathcal{P}/4 = 15A/4. \\
\sum_{\mathcal{P}} q &= \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \sum_{x_i=0}^{a_i-1} \frac{x_i + 1/2}{a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i a_i = 2A. \\
\sum_p q^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \sum_{x_i=0}^{a_i-1} \left(\frac{x_i + 1/2}{a_i} \right)^2 \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{A}{a_i a_j} \left(\sum_{x_i=0}^{a_i-1} \frac{x_i + 1/2}{a_i} \right) \left(\sum_{x_j=0}^{a_j-1} \frac{x_j + 1/2}{a_j} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{b_i a_i (a_i^2 - 1/4)}{3a_i^2} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \frac{A}{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{A}{3} - \frac{b_i}{12a_i} \right) + 3A = \frac{13A}{3} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_i \frac{b_i}{a_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

When A is even u is odd and we have

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q))^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} \left(\left(\frac{k + u/2}{A} \right) \right)^2 = s(1, A; 0, 1/2) = \frac{A}{12} + \frac{1}{2A}.$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} q((q)) = S_1 + \dots + S_4$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{x_1=0}^{a_1-1} \frac{x_1 + 1/2}{a_1} \cdot \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4} \left(\left(\frac{x_2}{a_2} + \frac{x_3}{a_3} + \frac{x_4}{a_4} + \frac{x_1}{a_1} + \frac{u}{2A} \right) \right).$$

S_2, S_3, S_4 are defined similarly. To compute S_1 note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4} \left(\left(\frac{x_2}{a_2} + \frac{x_3}{a_3} + \frac{x_4}{a_4} + \frac{x_1}{a_1} + \frac{u}{2A} \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{b_1-1} \left(\left(\frac{k}{b_1} + \frac{x_1}{a_1} + \frac{u}{2A} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(use the Kubert identity)

$$= \left(\left(\frac{b_1 x_1}{a_1} + \frac{u}{2A} \right) \right) = \left(\left(\frac{b_1(x_1 + 1/2)}{a_1} + \frac{u - b_1}{2a_1} \right) \right)$$

(($u - b_1$)/ a_1 is odd)

$$= \left(\left(\frac{b_1(x_1 + 1/2)}{a_1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right).$$

Thus

$$S_1 = \sum_{x_1} a_1 - 1 \frac{x_1 + 1/2}{a_1} \left(\left(\frac{b_1(x_1 + 1/2)}{a_1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)$$

and we deduce as in the previous subsection that

$$S_1 = s(b_1, a_1; 1/2, 1/2).$$

By adding all the above together we deduce that if A is odd then

$$4C_{\vec{a}} = S_{\vec{a}} = \frac{A}{6} - \frac{1}{12A} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_i \frac{b_i}{a_i} - 8 \sum_i s(b_i, a_i; 1/2, 1/2).$$

The identity (1.13) is now obvious.

• **A is odd** In this case u is even. Arguing as in the previous subsection we deduce

$$S_{\vec{a}} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (q - ((q)) - 3/2)(q - ((q)) - 5/2) + \frac{1}{4}.$$

The only term in the previous computations which is influenced by the parity of A is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} ((q))^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} \left(\left(\frac{k + u/2}{A} \right) \right)^2 = s(1, A) \\ &= \frac{A}{12} + \frac{1}{6A} - \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together all the terms we obtain again the identity (1.13). The Theorem 1.1 is proved. $\square$

A Basic facts concerning Dedekind-Rademacher sums

In [14] Rademacher consider for every pair of coprime integers h, k and any real numbers x, y the following generalization of the classical Dedekind sums

$$s(h, k; x, y) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{\mu + y}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{h(\mu + y)}{k} + x \right) \right).$$

A simple computations shows that $s(h, k; x, y)$ depends only on $x, y \bmod 1$. When $h = 1$ and $x = 0$ one can prove (see [14])

$$s(1, k; 0, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{12} + \frac{1}{6k} - \frac{1}{4} & y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{k}{12} + \frac{1}{k} B_2(\{y\}) & y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $B_2(t) = t^2 - t + 1/6$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial.

Perhaps the most important property of these Dedekind-Rademacher sums is their reciprocity law which makes them computationally very friendly. To formulate it we must distinguish two cases.

- Both x and y are integers. Then

$$s(\beta, \alpha; x, y) + s(\alpha, \beta; y, x) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 1}{12\alpha\beta}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

- x and/or y is not an integer. Then

$$s(\beta, \alpha; x, y) + s(\alpha, \beta; y, x) = ((x)) \cdot ((y)) + \frac{\beta^2 \psi_2(y) + \psi_2(\beta y + \alpha x) + \alpha^2 \psi_2(x)}{2\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $\psi_2(x) := B_2(\{x\})$.

An important ingredient behind the reciprocity law is the following identity (Lemma 1 in [14])

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{\mu + w}{k} \right) \right) = ((w)) \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Following the terminology in [8] we will call the above equality the *Kubert identity*.

We conclude with a proof of the identity (1.4). For simplicity we consider only the case $n = 3$ and $i = 1$. Set $\vec{a} = (a, b, c)$. Thus $A = abc$ is even, $u = bc + ca + ab$ and $b_1 = bc$. For arbitrary n the proof is only notationally more complicated.

The proof of (1.4) goes as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} s(\beta_1, a; \frac{\gamma_1 + \beta_1/2}{a}, -1/2) &= \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{x - 1/2}{a} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{\beta_1 x + \gamma_1}{a_1} \right) \right) \\ (\gamma_1 &= \beta_1(u - abc - 1)/2 \bmod a) \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{x - 1/2}{a} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{\beta_1(x - \frac{abc-u+1}{2})}{a} \right) \right) \\ (y &:= x - \frac{abc-u+1}{2} \bmod a) \\ &= \sum_{y=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{y + \frac{abc-u+1}{2} - 1/2}{a} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{\beta_1 y}{a} \right) \right) \\ (\text{ use } z &= -bcy \bmod a \text{ and } \beta_1 bc \equiv 1 \bmod a_1) \\ &= - \sum_{z=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{bcz - \frac{abc-u}{2}}{a} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{z}{a} \right) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{z=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{bc(z + 1/2)}{a} + \frac{b + c - bc}{2} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{z}{a} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \sum_{z=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{bc(z+1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{z}{a} \right) \right).$$

At this point we use the elementary identity

$$\left(\left(\frac{z}{a} \right) \right) = \left(\left(\frac{z+1/2}{a} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} \delta(z)$$

where

$$\delta(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z \equiv 0 \pmod{a} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} s(\beta_1, \alpha_1; \frac{\gamma_1 + \beta_1/2}{\alpha_1}, -1/2) &= - \sum_{z=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{bc(z+1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{z+1/2}{a} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{z=0}^{a-1} \left(\left(\frac{bc(z+1/2)}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{bc}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Kubert identity shows that the second sum above vanishes. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left(\frac{q_1 \gamma_1 + 1/2}{\alpha_1} \right) \right) &= \left(\left(\frac{\frac{u-abc}{2}}{a} \right) \right) = \left(\left(\frac{b+c-bc}{2} + \frac{bc}{2a} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{bc}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The identity (1.4) is proved. The proof of (1.7) is similar and is left to the reader.

References

- [1] W. Chen: *Casson invariant and Seiberg-Witten gauge theory*, preprint.
- [2] W. Chen: *Dehn surgery formula for Seiberg-Witten invariants of homology 3-spheres*, dg-ga 9703009.
- [3] R. Fintushel, R. Stern: *Instanton homology of Seifert fibred homology three spheres*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **61**(1990), 109-137.
- [4] F. Hirzebrüch, D. Zagier: *The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem and Elementary Number Theory*, Math. Lect. Series **3**, Publish or Perish Inc., Boston, 1974.
- [5] M. Jankins, W. D. Neumann: *Lectures On Seifert Manifolds*, Brandeis Lecture Notes, 1983.

- [6] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka: *Lectures at the 1st International Press Conference at U.C. Irvine, 1996*
- [7] M. Marcolli: *Equivariant Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology*, dg-ga 9606003.
- [8] J.W. Milnor: *On polylogarithms, Hurwitz zeta functions and the Kubert identities*, L'Enseignement Mathématique, **29**(1983), p.281-322.
- [9] L. J. Mordell: *Lattice points in a tetrahedron and generalized Dedekind sums*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **15**(1951), 41-46.
- [10] T. Mrowka, P. Ozsvath, B. Yu: *Seiberg-Witten monopoles on Seifert fibered spaces*, MSRI preprint, 1996/93.
- [11] W. Neumann, J. Wahl: *Casson invariant of links of singularities*, Comment. Math. Helvetici, **65**(1990), 58-78.
- [12] L.I. Nicolaescu: *Adiabatic limits of the Seiberg-Witten equations on Seifert manifolds*, to appear in Comm. Anal. and Geom..
- [13] L.I. Nicolaescu: *Finite energy Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces on 4-manifolds bounding Seifert fibrations*, dg-ga 9711006.
- [14] H. Rademacher: *Some remarks on certain generalized Dedekind sums*, Acta Arithmetica, **9**(1964), 97-105.
- [15] H. Rademacher, E. Grosswald: *Dedekind Sums*, The Carus Math. Monographs, MAA, 1972.
- [16] H. Rademacher: *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1973.
- [17] P. Scott: *The geometries of 3-manifolds*, Bull. London. Math. Soc. **15**(1983), 401-487.